

## LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

### Table No.

<b>A</b>	<b>Overview of assessment results.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>2-1</b>	<b>Virginia Water Resources Atlas.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2-2</b>	<b>Virginia Statewide Land Use Summary.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3.5-1</b>	<b>Citizen Monitoring Data Considered for Assessment: Level II and III.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>3.5-2</b>	<b>Non-Agency Data Submitted: Level II and Level III.....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>4.1-1</b>	<b>Summary of the designated use assessment methodology.....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>4.2-1</b>	<b>Designated use descriptions and indicators.....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>4.2-2</b>	<b>Assessment Results for Rivers (statewide).....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>4.2-3</b>	<b>Assessment Results for Significant Lakes/Reservoirs (statewide).....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>4.2-4</b>	<b>Assessment Results for Estuarine Waters (statewide).....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>4.2-5</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size (statewide).....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>4.3-1</b>	<b>Assessment Units in the Shenandoah River with indeterminate recreation use status...</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>4.3-2</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Potomac-Shenandoah River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>4.3-3</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Potomac-Shenandoah River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>4.3-4</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the James River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>4.3-5</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the James River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>4.3-6</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Rappahannock River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>4.3-7</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Rappahannock River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>4.3-8</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Roanoke River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>4.3-9</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Roanoke River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>4.3-10</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>4.3-11</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>4.3-12</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Tennessee-Big Sandy River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>4.3-13</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Tennessee-Big Sandy River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>83</b>

4.3-14	<i>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Chesapeake Bay/Atlantic Ocean and small coastal basins, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	87
4.3-15	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Chesapeake Bay/Atlantic Ocean and small coastal basins, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	87
4.3-16	<i>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the York River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	90
4.3-17	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the York River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	90
4.3-18	<i>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the New River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	93
4.3-19	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the New River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	93
4.4-1	<i>Dissolved oxygen results compared to Virginia's water quality standard (ProbMon).....</i>	97
4.4-2	<i>pH results compared to Virginia's water quality standard (ProbMon).....</i>	98
4.4-3	<i>Temperature results compared to Virginia's water quality standard (ProbMon).....</i>	98
4.4-4	<i>Dissolved metals results compared to Virginia's Water Quality Standards (ProbMon)....</i>	99
4.4-5	<i>VSCI/CPMI scores compared to Virginia's assessment thresholds (ProbMon).....</i>	101
4.4-6	<i>Thresholds of condition classes for biological indicators.....</i>	102
4.4-7	<i>Thresholds of condition classes for stressor indicators.....</i>	102
4.5-1	<i>Numbers and Proportions of the Estuarine ProbMon Sites Occurring in Various Salinity Zones.....</i>	115
4.5-2a	<i>National Coastal Condition Report Water Quality Indicators and Thresholds for the Northeast Coastal Region.....</i>	116
4.5-2b	<i>Guidelines for characterizing regions based on distributions of individual site scores...</i>	117
4.5-3	<i>Water Quality Index (WQI) Characterization.....</i>	123
4.5-4	<i>NCCA 2010 Guidelines for characterizing sediment contamination.....</i>	126
4.5-5	<i>Site characterization guidelines for the integrated sediment chemistry index based on the mERM-Q and LRM <math>P_{max}</math> Indicators.....</i>	127
4.5-6	<i>Number of Effects Range Low (ERL) and Effects Range Median (ERM) Exceedances Observed for Selected Metals and Total PAHs.....</i>	129
4.5-7	<i>Scoring Guidelines for Characterizing Individual Sites using the Sediment Quality Index (SQI).....</i>	131
4.5-8	<i>Scoring Guidelines for Characterizing Regions based on the Sediment Quality Index (SQI).....</i>	131
4.5-9	<i>Site characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites by three independent benthic indices.....</i>	134
4.6-1	<i>Chesapeake Bay dissolved oxygen criteria.....</i>	146
4.6-2	<i>Summary of Chesapeake Bay water clarity criteria for application to shallow-water SAV designated use habitats.....</i>	147
4.6-3	<i>Chlorophyll a criteria for application to open-water designated use habitats in the James River.....</i>	148
4.6-4	<i>Trend Analysis Results by Bay Segment .....</i>	162
5-1	<i>Land Use Classification.....</i>	164

5-2	<i>Statewide NPS Pollutant Loads – Post BMP Reduction.....</i>	164
5-5	<i>NPS BMP Installations and Metrics, Program Years 2014 &amp; 2015.....</i>	173
5-6	<i>Acres Placed Under a Nutrient Management Plan As of 6/30/2014.....</i>	174
5-7	<i>Land Use Classification.....</i>	176
6-1	<i>Public Water Supply Systems and Population Served from Virginia’s Source Water Assessment and Protection Reporting.....</i>	186
6-2	<i>Primary Sources of Groundwater Contamination.....</i>	188
6-3	<i>Summary of State Groundwater Protection Programs.....</i>	189
6-4	<i>Groundwater Contamination Summary.....</i>	190
6-5	<i>Aquifer Monitoring Data.....</i>	191
7.1-1	<i>Inspection frequency by facility type.....</i>	197
7.1-2	<i>TMDLs Completed.....</i>	199
7.4-1	<i>Summary of Revolving Loan Fund Status.....</i>	210
7.4-2	<i>Number of BMPs funded by the AgBMP Loan Program.....</i>	211
7.8-1	<i>Wetland Monitoring and Assessment Program Elements.....</i>	255
7.8-2	<i>Long-term wetlands field assessment strategy for Virginia.....</i>	257

**Figure No.**

A	<i>Summary of waters impaired by various pollutants as reported in the 2014 and 2016 Integrated Reports.....</i>	iv
B	<i>Distribution of waters with causes that have been removed from the 303(d) Impaired Waters List.....</i>	vi
C	<i>Sub-watersheds containing TMDLs.....</i>	vii
1	<i>Map with sub-watersheds assessed since the 2002 report.....</i>	5
3.5-1	<i>Percent of stations by collector type included in the Integrated Report.....</i>	23
4.2-1	<i>Designated use support summary (statewide).....</i>	57
4.2-2	<i>Summary of waters impaired by various pollutants as reported in the 2014 and 2016 Integrated Reports.....</i>	60
4.3-1	<i>Designated Use support summary for Potomac-Shenandoah River basin.....</i>	65
4.3-2	<i>Designated Use support summary for the James River basin.....</i>	69
4.3-3	<i>Designated use support summary for the Rappahannock River basin.....</i>	73
4.3-4	<i>Designated use support summary for the Roanoke River basin.....</i>	76
4.3-5	<i>Designated use support summary for the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin.....</i>	79

4.3-6	<i>Designated use support summary for the Tennessee-Big Sandy River basin.....</i>	82
4.3-7	<i>Designated use support summary for the Chesapeake Bay/Atlantic Ocean and small coastal basins.....</i>	85
4.3-8	<i>Designated use support summary for the York River basin.....</i>	88
4.3-9	<i>Designated use support summary for the New River basin.....</i>	92
4.4-1	<i>Percentage of stream miles with water quality parameters exceeding criteria/ screening values.....</i>	94
4.4-2	<i>Virginia probabilistic monitoring locations from 2009-2014.....</i>	95
4.4-3	<i>Virginia probabilistic monitoring wadeable locations from 2001-2014.....</i>	96
4.4-4	<i>Virginia probabilistic monitoring wadeable and boatable watershed and sample sites from 2001-2014.....</i>	96
4.4-5	<i>Biological stream condition index based on VSCI/CPMI scores.....</i>	101
4.4-6	<i>Estimate of habitat condition in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	103
4.4-7	<i>Estimate of streambed sedimentation conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	104
4.4-8	<i>Estimate of total phosphorus conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	104
4.4-9	<i>Estimate of total nitrogen conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	105
4.4-10	<i>Estimate of ionic strength conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	105
4.4-11	<i>Estimate of Cumulative Criterion Unit Metals Index in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	106
4.4-12	<i>Stressor extent for major benthic macroinvertebrate stressors in Virginia streams.....</i>	107
4.4-13	<i>Relative Risk for major benthic macroinvertebrate stressors in Virginia streams.....</i>	108
4.4-14	<i>Stressor extent for major benthic macroinvertebrate stressors in Virginia streams.....</i>	109
4.5-1	<i>Geographic distribution of estuarine ProbMon sites.....</i>	115
4.5-2	<i>Geographic and statistical distributions and characterizations of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) samples collected at estuarine sites.....</i>	117
4.5-3	<i>Geographic and statistical distributions and characterizations of near-surface dissolved inorganic phosphorous (DIP) samples collected at estuarine sites.....</i>	118
4.5-4	<i>Geographic and statistical distributions and characterizations of probabilistic sites based upon near-surface Chlorophyll-a values.....</i>	119
4.5-5	<i>Geographic and statistical distributions and characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites based on water clarity.....</i>	121
4.5-6	<i>Geographic distribution and classification of probabilistic estuarine sites based upon Near-Bottom Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations.....</i>	122
4.5-7	<i>Geographic distribution and characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites based on the Water Quality Index.....</i>	124
4.5-8	<i>Geographic distributions and characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites based on bacterial contamination.....</i>	125
4.5-9	<i>Sediment chemical contamination index (SCI) integrating the results from the mERM-Q and the LRM P<sub>max</sub> Characterizations.....</i>	127
4.5-10	<i>Geographic distribution and characterization of probabilistic estuarine sites based upon acute sediment toxicity.....</i>	130
4.5-11	<i>Geographic distribution and characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites based on the Sediment Quality Index – SQI.....</i>	131

4.5-12	<i>Geographical distribution and characterizations of sites based on Total Organic Carbon Concentrations in Sediment.....</i>	133
4.5-13	<i>Geographical distribution and characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites based on their Benthic IBI Scores.....</i>	135
4.5-14	<i>Weight-of-Evidence Assessment Results from probabilistic estuarine sites .....</i>	137
4.6-1	<i>Impairment status of the Bay aquatic life use.....</i>	142
4.6-2	<i>Conceptualized illustration of location of the five Chesapeake Bay tidal water designated use zones.....</i>	145
4.6-3	<i>Chesapeake Bay dissolved oxygen and water clarity assessment segmentation.....</i>	149
4.6-4	<i>Attainment of the Open-Water designated use (dissolved oxygen criteria).....</i>	152
4.6-5	<i>Attainment of the Open-Water designated use (chlorophyll criteria).....</i>	153
4.6-6	<i>Attainment of the Deep-Water and Deep-Channel designated uses.....</i>	154
4.6-7	<i>Attainment of the Shallow Water SAV designated use.....</i>	155
4.6-8	<i>Estuarine benthic biological assessment.....</i>	157
4.6-9	<i>Assessment categories for Bay aquatic life subuses, as areal percentages.....</i>	160
7.1-1	<i>TMDL Implementation Plan Development in Virginia.....</i>	200
7.2-1	<i>Map of 2016-2022 Priority Waters and Watersheds.....</i>	202
7.5-1	<i>Current Virginia fish consumption advisories.....</i>	212
7.8-1	<i>Wetland condition scores averaged by 12 digit HUC.....</i>	256
7.8-2	<i>Wetland condition scores by 12 digit HUC for habitat ecosystem service and water quality ecosystem service.....</i>	257
7.8-3	<i>GIS-based Wetland data viewer.....</i>	259